IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

WRIT PETITION NO : 9487 of 1995

Between:

1. .B.R.Naidu S/o. Muni Swamy Naidu
2. M.Yadaiah S/o. Eshwaraiah
3. Vasudev S/o.B.P.Patrani
4. J.krishna S/o. Narshima
5. R.Narshima S/o.Enkalu
6. K.Lalitha S/o.K.Yellaiah
7. V.Pentaiah, s/o.Balaiah
8. J.Jaipal S/o.Narsimha
9. W.Ushaiah S/o.Sree Ramulu
10. J.Narsimha S/o.Ramaiah
11. B.V.S.Ramesh S/o. Venkata Swamy
12. Ravinder Kumar Agrawal s/o.Vasudev Agarwal
13. B.Venkata Swamy S/o. Pullaiah
14. Pushpa Devi W/o.Prahalad Rai Agarwal
15. Prahalad Rai Agarwal S/o.Chateerbeej
16. Ch.N. Krishna Rao S/o Ch.N.Venkata Narsimha Rao
17. K.Venkataswamy S/o.Ramulu
18. Pasha Bhai S/o. Saleem
19. K.Bala Prasad S/o. Yellaiah
20. K.Krishna s/o.Ramulu

21. K.Sree Ramulu S/o.Pochaiah

22. A.Laxmiaha S/o.A.Pochaiah

(All are residents of Shaikpet Village,Golconda Mandal,Hyderabad District).

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Mandal Revenue Officer, Golconda Mandal,
Hyderabad.
2 District Collector
Hyderabad District
3 Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad rep. by its Commissioner
Tankbund Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, declaring that the action of the respondents in interfering with and demolishing the rooms of the petitioners in Sy.No.391, (Road Nos.4 and 5), situated at Shaikpet village, Golconda Mandal, Hyderabad, without any notice and opportunity and without passing any orders is arbitrary and illegal and direct the respondents not to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the petitioners in respect of the said rooms/ properties, except in accordance with law and grant such other relief as it deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.VENKATRAMANA (not present)

Counsel for the Respondents 1 & 2: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for Respondent No.3: MR.GANTA RAMA RAO

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner o n 9-9-2004. Consequently the matter has been posted today under the caption ‘for dismissal’. Today when the matter is called, neither the petitioner nor his counsel is present and there is no representation on his behalf. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for default. No cost

----

16-09-2004

Stp

Sd/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 Mandal Revenue Officer, Golconda Mandal,
Hyderabad.
2 District Collector
Hyderabad District
3 Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad rep. by its Commissioner
Tankbund Hyderabad

4.2CCs to G.P. for Revenue,A.P.High Court Buildings,(OUT)

2.2CD copies

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 9487 of 1995

16-09-2004

-